Adjuvants in HIV Vaccine Research

Frederick R. Vogel Vaccine and Prevention Research Program, Division of AIDS, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

Key words: Immunologic adjuvants, vaccine

New vaccines are presently under development and testing for the control of infectious diseases including HIV. Several of these vaccines are composed of synthetic, recombinant, or highly purified subunit antigens. Subunit vaccines are designed to include only the antigens required for protective immunization and to be safer than whole-inactivated or live-attenuated vaccines. However, the purity of the subunit antigens and the absence of self-adjuvanting immunomodulatory components associated with attenuated or killed vaccines often results in weaker immunogenicity of subunit vaccines. Immunologic adjuvants are agents that enhance specific immune responses to vaccines. Formulation of vaccines with potent adjuvants is an attractive approach for improving the performance of vaccines composed of subunit antigens. Adjuvants have diverse mechanisms of action and should be selected for use based on the route of administration and the type of immune response (antibody, cell-mediated, or mucosal immunity) that is desired for a particular vaccine. Adjuvant mechanisms of action include: 1) increasing the biological or immunological half-life of vaccine antigens; 2) improving antigen delivery to antigen presenting cells (APC) and antigen processing and presentation by the APC; and 3) inducing the production of immunomodulatory cytokines. Through modulation of cytokine responses, adjuvant formulations can be designed that favor the development of Th1 (type 1) or Th2 (type 2) immune responses to vaccine antigens. Novel adjuvants are presently undergoing preclinical and clinical testing with experimental human candidate vaccines. Standardized preclinical adjuvant safety tests to support the clinical evaluation of novel adjuvants are also under development.

Introduction

An immunologic adjuvant may be defined as any substance that when incorporated into a vaccine formulation acts generally to accelerate, prolong, or enhance the quality of specific immune responses to vaccine antigens. The word adjuvant is derived from the Latin word adjuvare, which means to help or aid. Immunologic adjuvants have been under development and testing for most of this century. Ramon, in the mid-1920s, observed that horses that developed abscesses at the site of an injection of diphtheria toxoid produced higher antitoxin titers than animals without abscesses. He later reported that abscesses induced by the injection of foreign substances together with toxoid also augmented anti-toxin responses in horses [1,2]. In 1926, Glenny demonstrated the adjuvant activity of aluminum compounds using an alum-precipitated diphtheria toxoid vaccine [3]. In the mid-1930s Jules Freund developed a powerful immunologic adjuvant composed of a water-in-mineral oil emulsion and containing killed mycobacteria as an additional immunomodulator [4]. This adjuvant is known as Freund's complete adjuvant (FCA). Although FCA is one of the most effective adjuvants known, is highly reactogenic and cannot be used in human vaccines. However, Freund's incomplete adjuvant (FIA), which does not contain mycobacteria was employed in an influenza vaccine licensed in the United Kingdom and is used in several HIV vaccines under clinical evaluation. In 1956 Arthur Johnston discovered the adjuvant activity of endotoxins from Gram-negative bacteria [5] and in 1974 Ellouz and colleagues identified muramyl dipeptide (MDP) as the smallest adjuvant-active component of the mycobacteria in FCA [6]. Presently, aluminum salt-based adjuvants continue to be the only immunologic adjuvants used in U.S.-licensed vaccines. However, hundreds of natural and

synthetic compounds have been identified that posses adjuvant activity. A variety of these novel adjuvants, which may be used to augment or replace alum in human vaccines, have been under development and in preclinical evaluation for several decades [7]. In animal models, many novel adjuvants have been demonstrated to be more effective than alum in enhancing both antibody and cell-mediated immune responses to vaccine antigens. Extensive preclinical evaluation of novel immunologic adjuvants have been conducted and clinical trials comparing the activities of various adjuvants have been initiated.

Advantages of the Use of Adjuvants

Potential advantages of the use of immunologic adjuvants in vaccine formulations include there ability to: 1) direct and optimize immune responses that are appropriate for the vaccine; 2) enable mucosal delivery of vaccines; 3) promote cell-mediated immune responses; 4) enhance the immunogenicity of weaker immunogens such as highly purified or recombinant antigens; 5) reduce the amount of antigen or the frequency of immunization required to provide protective immunity; 6) improve the efficacy of vaccines in individuals with reduced or weakened immune responses such as newborns, the aged, and immunocompromised vaccinees.

Types of Immunologic Adjuvants

Immunologic adjuvants can be classified by their sources, mechanisms of action, and physical or chemical properties. Table 1 lists examples of the types of adjuvants under development and testing for use with human vaccines.

Adjuvant Mechanisms of Action

Adjuvants have diverse mechanisms of action and must be chosen for use with a particular vaccine based on the route of administration to be employed and the type of immune responses desired.

The first mechanism of adjuvant action identified was the so-called depot effect, in which gel-type adjuvants such as aluminum hydroxide or emulsion-based adjuvants such as IFA associate with antigen and facilitate transport of antigen to the draining lymph node where immune responses are generated. Immunogenicity of small antigens such as synthetic peptides that otherwise would be rapidly cleared from the injection site and draining lymph nodes can be improved by the use of adjuvants that form particles or otherwise associate with and hold antigen. Adjuvants can also act through enhancement of antigen presentation. Immunologic adjuvants act directly or indirectly on antigen presenting cells (APC) such as macrophages and dendritic cells [8,9]. The emulsionbased adjuvant MF59 has recently been shown to be internalized by dendritic cells [10]. Certain novel adjuvants such as purified saponins, ISCOMS, and liposomes have been shown to greatly improve the induction of MHC class-I-restricted CD8+ CTL responses over those induced by the same antigen given alone or in combination with standard alum adjuvants [11-13]. A mechanism by which these adjuvants induce CTL may be through the delivery of antigen directly to the cytosol for presentation with MHC class I molecules [9]. Cytosolic antigen delivery by membrane-active adjuvants could mimic antigen presentation that occurs during viral infection or immunization with live-attenuated vaccines. Antigen presented to the cytosol could bypass endosomal antigen delivery and subsequent processing with MHC class II molecules, which occurs when antigen is delivered alone or in alum and induces primarily antibody responses [14] via presentation to CD4+ T-helper lymphocytes. Adjuvants may also promote cytosolic antigen delivery and MHC class I presentation by enabling antigen to cross endosomal membranes into the cytosol after ingestion of antigen-adjuvant complexes by APC [15]. Antigen can be targeted

to macrophages or dendritic cells by particulate adjuvants such as liposomes, and APCs can be stimulated by adjuvants to secrete immunomodulatory cytokines. Various cytokines induced by adjuvants act on lymphocytes to promote predominately Th1 or Th2 immune responses [14,16,17]. Adjuvants that enhance Th1 immune responses through the induction of IFN-y and delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) also elicit the production of IgG subclasses that fix complement and bind with high affinity binding to FcyI receptors (e.g., IgG2a in mice and IgG1 in humans) [18-20]. These immunoglobulin subclasses are the most active in complementmediated lysis and antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) effector mechanisms. Several cytokines are under evaluation as vaccine adjuvants, including IL-2, interferon gamma (IFN-γ, GM-CSF, and IL-12 [21-23]. IL-12 is a recently characterized cytokine that may play a pivotal role in immunomodulation by various immunologic adjuvants [24-26]. Jankovic et al. showed that the addition of IL-12 to an alum-adsorbed HIV-1 gp120 vaccine elicited type 1 (Th1) cytokines and IgG2 and IgG3 antibody responses in mice. The same vaccine without IL-12 induced type 2 (Th2) cytokines and IgG1 antibody responses [27]. Adjuvant-active bacterial toxins such as cholera toxin, and pertussis toxin, which preferentially drive Th2-like responses, have been shown to enhance IgA and IgE [17,28-30] antibody production. Adjuvants that drive Th2-like immune responses could enhance protection against mucosal virus transmission through augmentation of IgA production.

Adjuvant Safety

The benefits of incorporating adjuvants into vaccine formulations to enhance immunogenicity must be weighed against the risk of these agents to induce adverse reactions. Local adverse reactions include local inflammation at the injection site or, rarely, the induction of granulomas or

sterile abscesses formation. Systemic reactions to adjuvants observed in laboratory animals include malaise, fever, adjuvant arthritis, and anterior uveitis [31,32]. Such reactions often are caused by the interaction of the adjuvant with the antigen itself, or may be due to the type of response or cytokine profile the adjuvant produces to a particular antigen. Therefore, even though separate and extensive preclinical toxicology and safety studies have been performed on both the adjuvant and the vaccine antigens, a final safety evaluation of the human candidate vaccine formulation proposed for Phase I clinical testing should be conducted. This evaluation should be conducted in a small animal species in which the antigen has been found to be immunogenic and that can be reproducibly immunized via the same route proposed for the human clinical trials. The dose and frequency of immunization of the vaccine also should meet or exceed those anticipated for use in the clinical trial. Such a test, recently designed by a collaborative effort between Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration (CBER/FDA) and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) [33], has been used to evaluate with several vaccine formulations containing novel adjuvants (Figure 1).

Future Directions

Adjuvant research is a rapidly advancing field reflecting the rates at which new adjuvants are discovered and the better understanding of immune mechanisms possible because of advances in immunobiology. In turn, adjuvants should now be applied to the study of many aspects of basic immunology. For example, adjuvants can be used as a tool to study immune mechanisms such as antigen presentation by dendritic cells (DC) and modulation of immune responses by cytokines and their receptors. Adjuvants can also be employed in vaccine design research which could assist

in identifying the requirements of protective immunity, since different adjuvants vary immune responses to the same experimental antigen. The activities of adjuvants in humans as compared with their effect in small animals should be more fully evaluated. Animal models should be developed that can predict as accurately as possible the effectiveness in humans of a particular adjuvant when formulated with the desired vaccine antigens.

Summary

Development of safe and effective vaccines composed of subunit antigens will require the ability to selectively drive appropriate protective immune responses to them. The use of immunologic adjuvants to enhance and direct immune responses to subunit vaccines is a critical component of a rational vaccine design. Adjuvants have diverse mechanisms of action and must be selected for use based on the immune responses (e.g., antibody, mucosal, CTL) contributing to the induction of protective immunity. Adjuvants can improve the performance of vaccines by targeting of antigen to APC, eliciting cytokines that direct Th1 or Th2 immune responses, promoting cellmediated immunity including CTL, and reducing the number of immunizations or the amount of antigen required for protective immunization. The selection of a vaccine adjuvant should be based on analysis of the potential benefit of the adjuvant in enhancing the immunogenicity of a vaccine weighed against its risk to induce adverse local or systemic reactions. The severity and prevalence of the disease against which the vaccine is designed to afford protection may also be considered in risk and benefit determinations for the use of novel adjuvants. Standardized methods to evaluate adjuvant safety should be implemented for human vaccines that are to be formulated with novel adjuvants.

References

- 1 Ramon G: Sur l'augmentation anormale de l'antitoxine chez les chevaux producteurs de serum antidiphterique. Bull.Soc.Centr.Med.Vet 1925;101:227-234.
- 2 Ramon G: Procedres pour acroitre la production des antitoxines. Ann Inst Pasteur 1926;40:1-10.
- 3 Glenny AT, Pope CG, Waddington H, Wallace V: The antigenic value of toxoid precipitated by potassium alum. J Path Bact 1926;29:38-45.
- 4 Freund J, Casals J, Hosmer EP: Sensitization and antibody formation after injection of tubercle bacilli and parafin oil. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1937;37:509-513.
- 5 Johnson AG, Gaines S, Landy M: Studies on the O antigen of Salmonella typhosa V. Enhancement of antibody response to protein antigens by the purified lipopolysaccharide. J Exp Med 1956;103:225-246.
- 6 Ellouz F, Adam A, Ciobaru R, Lederer E: Minimal structural requirements for adjuvant activity of bacterial peptidoglycan derivatives. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1974;59:1317-1325.
- 7 Vogel FR, Powell MF: A summary compendium of vaccine adjuvants and excipients; in Powell MF, Newman MJ (eds): Vacine design: The subunit and adjuvant approach. New York, Plenum Publishing Corp. 1995,
- 8 Wu JY, Gardner BH, Kushner NN, Pozzi LA, Kensil CR, Cloutier PA, Coughlin RT, Newman MJ: Accessory cell requirements for saponin adjuvant-induced class I MHC antigen-restricted cytotoxic T-lymphocytes. Cell Immunol 1994;154:393-406.
- 9 Kovacsovics-Bankowski M, Rock KL: Presentation of exogenous antigens by macrophages: analysis of major histocompatibility complex class I and II presentation and regulation by cytokines. Eur J Immunol 1994;24:2421-2428.
- 10 Dupuis M, Murphy TJ, Higgins D, Ugozzoli M, Van Nest G, Ott G, McDonald DM: Dendritic cells internalize vaccine adjuvant after intramuscular injection. Cell Immunol 1998;186:18-27.
- 11 Takahashi H, Takashita T, Morein B, Putney S, Germain RN, Berzofsky JA: Induction of CD8+ cytotoxic cells by immunization with purified HIV-1 envelope protein in ISCOMs. Nature 1990;344:873-875.
- 12 Newman MJ, Wu YJ, Gardner BH, Munroe KJ, Leombruno D, Recchai J, Kensil CR, Coughlin RT: Saponin adjuvant induction of ovalbumin-specific CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocyte

- responses. J Immunol 1992;148:2357-2362.
- 13 Shahum E, Therien HM: Liposomal adjuvanticity: effect of encapsulation and surface-linkage on antibody production and proliferative response. Int J Immunopharmacol 1995;17:9-20.
- 14 Audibert FM, Lise LD: Adjuvants: current status, clinical perspectives and future prospects. Immunol Today 1993;14:281-284.
- 15 Kovacsovics-Bankowski M, Rock KL: A phagosome-to-cytosol pathway for exogenous antigens presented on MHC class I molecules. Science 1995;267:243-246.
- 16 Grun JL, Maurer PH: Different T helper cell subsets elicited in mice utilizing two different adjuvant vehicles: the role of endogenous interleukin 1 in proliferative responses. Cell Immunol 1989;121:134-145.
- 17 Xu-Amano J, Kiyono H, Jackson RJ, Staats HF, Fujihashi K, Burrows PD, Elson CO, Pillai S, McGhee JR: Helper T cell subsets for immunoglobulin A responses: oral immunization with tetanus toxoid and cholera toxin as adjuvant selectively induces Th2 cells in mucosa associated tissues. J Exp Med 1993;178:1309-1320.
- 18 Allison AC: The mode of action of immunological adjuvants. Dev Biol Stand 1998;92:3-11.
- 19 Unkeless JC, Scigliano E, Freedman VH: Structure and function of human and murine receptors for IgG. Annu Rev Immunol 1988;6:251-281.
- 20 Phillips NC, Emili A: Enhanced antibody responses to liposome-associated protein antigens: preferential stimulation of IgG2a/b production. Vaccine 1992;10:151-158.
- 21 Nunberg J, Doyle MV, York SM, York CJ: Interleukin 2 acts as an adjuvant to enhance the potency of inactivated rabies vaccine. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1989;86:4240-4243.
- 22 Odean MJ, Frane CM, Van der Vieren M, Tomai MA, Johnson AG: Involvement of gamma interferon in antibody enhancement by adjuvants. Infect Immun 1990;58:427-432.
- 23 Pardoll DM: Paracrine cytokine adjuvants in cancer immunotherapy. Annu Rev Immunol 1995;13:399-415.
- 24 Luis C, Afonso C, Scharton TM, Vieira LQ, Wysocka M, Trinchieri G, Scott P: The adjuvant effect of interleukin-12 in a vaccine against Leishmania major. Science 1994;263:235-237.
- 25 Bliss J, Van Cleave V, Murray K, Wiencis A, Ketchum M, Maylor R, Haire T, Resmini C, Abbas AK, Wolf SF: IL-12, as an adjuvant, promotes a T helper 1 cell, but does not suppress a T helper 2 cell recall response. J Immunol 1996;156:887-894.

- 26 Rook GA, Stanford JL: Adjuvants, endocrines and conserved epitopes; factors to consider when designing therapeutic vaccines. Int J Immunopharmacol 1995;17:91-102.
- 27 Jankovic D, Caspar P, Zweig M, Garcia-Moll M, Showalter SD, Vogel FR, Sher A: Adsorption to aluminum hydroxide promotes the activity of IL-12 as an adjuvant for antibody as well as type 1 cytokine responses to HIV-1 gp120. J Immunol 1997;159:2409-2417.
- 28 Holmgren J, Lycke N, Czerkinsky C: Cholera toxin and cholera B subunit as oral-mucosal adjuvant and antigen vector systems. Vaccine 1993;11:1179-1184.
- 29 Wilson AD, Robinson A, Irons L, Stokes CR: Adjuvant action of cholera toxin and pertussis toxin in the induction of IgA antibody response to orally administered antigen. Vaccine 1993;11:113-118.
- 30 Lindsay DS, Parton R, Wardlaw AC: Adjuvant effect of pertussis toxin on the production of anti-ovalbumin IgE in mice and lack of direct correlation between PCA and ELISA. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 1994;105:281-288.
- 31 Allison AC, Byars NE: Immunological adjuvants: Desirable properties and side-effects. Molecular Immunology 1991;28:279-284.
- 32 Waters RV, Terrell TG, Jones GH: Uveitis induction in the rabbit by muramyl dipeptides. Infect Immun 1986;51:816-825.
- 33 Goldenthal KL, Cavagnaro JA, Alving CR, Vogel FR: Safety evaluation of vaccine adjuvants. National Cooperative Vaccine Development Working Group. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 1993;9:S45-S49.
- 34 Gupta RK, Siber GR: Comparison of adjuvant activities of aluminum phosphate, calcium phosphate and stearyl tyrosine for tetanus toxoid. Biologicals 1994;22:53-63.
- 35 Chu RS, Targoni OS, Krieg AM, Lehmann PV, Harding CV: CpG oligodeoxynucleotides act as adjuvants that switch on T helper 1 (Th1) immunity. J Exp Med 1997;186:1623-1631.
- 36 Schneerson R, Fattom A, Szu SC, Bryla D, Ulrich JT, Rudbach JA, Schiffman G, Robbins JB: Evaluation of monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL) as an adjuvant. Enhancement of the serum antibody response in mice to polysaccharide-protein conjugates by concurrent injection with MPL. J Immunol 1991;147:2136-2140.
- 37 Okahashi N, Yamamoto M, Vancott JL, Chatfield SN, Roberts M, Bluethmann H, Hiroi T, Kiyono H, McGhee JR: Oral immunization of interleukin-4 (IL-4) knockout mice with a

- recombinant Salmonella strain or cholera toxin reveals that CD4+ Th2 cells producing IL-6 and IL-10 are associated with mucosal immunoglobulin A responses. Infect Immun 1996;64:1516-1525.
- 38 Lycke N, Tsuji T, Holmgren J: The adjuvant effect of Vibrio cholerae and Escherichia coli heat-labile enterotoxins is linked to their ADP-ribosyltransferase activity. Eur J Immunol 1992;22:2277-2281.
- 39 de Haan L, Holtrop M, Verweij WR, Agsteribbe E, Wilschut J: Mucosal immunogenicity of the Escherichia coli heat-labile enterotoxin: role of the A subunit. Vaccine 1996;14:260-266.
- 40 Chong C, Friberg M, Clements JD: LT(R192G), a non-toxic mutant of the heat-labile enterotoxin of Escherichia coli, elicits enhanced humoral and cellular immune responses associated with protection against lethal oral challenge with Salmonella spp. Vaccine 1998;16:732-740.
- 41 Roberts M, Bacon A, Rappuoli R, Pizza M, Cropley I, Douce G, Dougan G, Marinaro M, McGhee J, Chatfield S: A mutant pertussis toxin molecule that lacks ADP-ribosyltransferase activity, PT-9K/129G, is an effective mucosal adjuvant for intranasally delivered proteins. Infect Immun 1995:63:2100-2108.
- 42 Mu HH, Sewell WA: Regulation of DTH and IgE responses by IL-4 and IFN-gamma in immunized mice given pertussis toxin. Immunology 1994;83:639-645.
- 43 Cohen LY, Bahr GM, Darcissac EC, Parant MA: Modulation of expression of class II MHC and CD40 molecules in murine B cells by various muramyl dipeptides. Cell Immunol 1996;169:75-84.
- 44 Lindblad EB, Elhay MJ, Silva R, Appelberg R, Andersen P: Adjuvant modulation of immune responses to tuberculosis subunit vaccines. Infect Immun 1997;65:623-629.
- 45 Putkonen P, Nilsson C, Walther L, Ghavamzadeh L, Hild K, Broliden K, Biberfeld G, Thorstensson R: Efficacy of inactivated whole HIV-2 vaccines with various adjuvants in cynomolgus monkeys. J Med Primatol 1994;23:89-94.
- 46 Kahn JO, Sinangil F, Baenziger J, Murcar N, Wynne D, Coleman RL, Steimer KS, Dekker CL, Chernoff D: Clinical and immunologic responses to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1SF2 gp120 subunit vaccine combined with MF59 adjuvant with or without muramyl tripeptide dipalmitoyl phosphatidylethanolamine in non-HIV-infected human volunteers. J Infect Dis 1994;170:1288-1291.
- 47 Ott G, Barchfeld GL, Van Nest G: Enhancement of humoral response against human influenza vaccine with the simple submicron oil/water emulsion adjuvant MF59. Vaccine 1995;13:1557-

- 48 Gupta RK, Relyveld EH, Lindblad EB, Bizzini B, Ben-Efraim S, Gupta CK: Adjuvants a balance between toxicity and adjuvanticity. Vaccine 1993;11:294-306.
- 49 Byars NE, Fraser-Smith EB, Pecyk RA, Welch M, Nakano G, Burke RL, Hayward AR, Allison AC: Vaccinating guinea pigs with recombinant glycoprotein D of herpes simplex virus in an efficacious adjuvant formulation elicits protection against vaginal infection. Vaccine 1994;12:200-209.
- 50 Sjolander A, van't Land B, Lovgren Bengtsson K: Iscoms containing purified Quillaja saponins upregulate both Th1-like and Th2-like immune responses. Cell Immunol 1997;177:69-76.
- 51 Richards RL, Rao M, Wassef NM, Glenn GM, Rothwell SW, Alving CR: Liposomes containing lipid A serve as an adjuvant for induction of antibody and cytotoxic T-cell responses against RTS,S malaria antigen. Infect Immun 1998;66:2859-2865.
- 52 Fernandes I, Frisch B, Muller S, Schuber F: Synthetic lipopeptides incorporated in liposomes: in vitro stimulation of the proliferation of murine splenocytes and in vivo induction of an immune response against a peptide antigen. Mol Immunol 1997;34:569-576.
- 53 Men Y, Gander B, Merkle HP, Corradin G: Induction of sustained and elevated immune responses to weakly immunogenic synthetic malarial peptides by encapsulation in biodegradable polymer microspheres. Vaccine 1996;14:1442-1450.
- 54 Shahin R, Leef M, Eldridge J, Hudson M, Gilley R: Adjuvanticity and protective immunity elicited by Bordetella pertussis antigens encapsulated in poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide) microspheres. Infect Immun 1995;63:1195-1200.
- 55 Neuzil KM, Johnson JE, Tang YW, Prieels JP, Slaoui M, Gar N, Graham BS: Adjuvants influence the quantitative and qualitative immune response in BALB/c mice immunized with respiratory syncytial virus FG subunit vaccine. Vaccine 1997;15:525-532.
- 56 Hunter RL, McNicholl J, Lal AA: Mechanisms of action of nonionic block copolymer adjuvants. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 1994;10 Suppl 2:S95-8.
- 57 Newman MJ, Todd CW, Lee EM, Balusubramanian M, Didier PJ, Katz JM: Increasing the immunogenicity of a trivalent influenza virus vaccine with adjuvant-active nonionic block copolymers for potential use in the elderly. Mech Ageing Dev 1997;93:189-203.
- 58 Fast DJ, Vosika GJ: The muramyl dipeptide analog GMTP-N-DPG preferentially induces cellular immunity to soluble antigens. Vaccine 1997;15:1748-1752.

- 59 Bahr GM, Darcissac E, Bevec D, Dukor P, Chedid L: Immunopharmacological activities and clinical development of muramyl peptides with particular emphasis on murabutide. Int J Immunopharmacol 1995;17:117-131.
- 60 Payne LG, Jenkins SA, Woods AL, Grund EM, Geribo WE, Loebelenz JR, Andrianov AK, Roberts BE: Poly[di(carboxylatophenoxy)phosphazene] (PCPP) is a potent immunoadjuvant for an influenza vaccine [In Process Citation]. Vaccine 1998;16:92-98.
- 61 Payne LG, Jenkins SA, Andrianov A, Roberts BE: Water-soluble phosphazene polymers for parenteral and mucosal vaccine delivery. Pharm Biotechnol 1995;6:473-493.
- 62 Johnson AG: Molecular adjuvants and immunomodulators: New approaches to immunization. Clin Microbiol Rev 1994;7:277-289.
- 63 Harrington DG, Crabbs CL, Hilmas DE, Brown JR, Hibbee GA, Cole FE, Jr., Levy HB: Adjuvant effects of low doses of a nuclease resistant derivative of polyinosinic acid:polycytidylic acid on antibody responses of monkeys to inactivated Venezuelan equine encephalomyelitis virus vaccine. Infect Immun 1978;24:160-166.

Table I. Types of Immunologic Adjuvants

Gel-type adjuvants Aluminum hydroxide/aluminum phosphate) [3,34]

Calcium phosphate [34]

Microbial adjuvants DNA CpG motifs [35]

Endotoxin Monophosphoryl lipid A [36]

Exotoxins Cholera toxin [28,37]

E. coli heat-labile toxin [38-40]

Pertussis toxin [41,42]

Muramyl dipeptide (MDP) [6,43]

Oil-emulsion and

Emulsifier-based adjuvants Freund's Incomplete Adjuvant (IFA) [44,45]

MF59 [10,46,47]

SAF [18,48,49]

Particulate adjuvants Immunostimulatory complexes (ISCOMs) [45,48,50]

Liposomes [51,52]

Biodegradable microspheres [53,54]

Saponins (QS-21) [12,55]

Synthetic adjuvants Nonionic block copolymers [56,57]

Muramyl peptide analogues [43,58,59]

Polyphosphazene [60,61]

Synthetic polynucleotides [62,63]

Figure Legend

Fig. I. Rabbit adjuvant safety and immunogenicity test. Six to 10 rabbits per group. Route of immunization is the same route that is proposed for clinical trials. Rabbits receive the highest dose of vaccine per injection that is proposed for Phase 1 clinical trials. Rabbits should receive one additional injection over the number to be administered to humans. Serum chemistry panel should include creatine phosphokinase (CPK). Complete blood count (CBC).

RABBIT ADJUVANT SAFETY AND IMMUNOGENICITY TEST

